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| Summary:  |
| During the 5th meeting of the project team CPG-PTD, progress was made in relation to the development of the CEPT brief on agenda item 1.1. However, as pointed out by Sweden during final plenary sessions, some of the text is not consistent with the decision on band cathegorization decided at the third meeting of the CPG. Sweden proposes to align the text in the CEPT brief accordingly. |
| Proposal: |
| Sweden proposes to introduce the following changes to the text in the Draft CEPT Brief on agenda item 1.1. in section 3.3 Considerations of frequency bandsThe wording and descriptions of the respective frequency band need to reflect the proper cathegorizations, as decided by CPG during its third meeting. For the following bands: 1300-1350 MHz, 1518-1525 MHz, 2025-2110 MHz, 2200-2290 MHz, 3300-3400 MHz, 3800-4200 MHz, 4400-4500 MHz, 4500-4800 MHz, 4800-5000 MHz, the wording “CEPT does not support this frequency band as a candidate band…” should be replaced by the wording “The band does not seem to be suitable for mobile broadband”.For the following bands: 2700-2900 MHz, 5350-5470 MHz och 5725-5850 MHz,the wording “No common view as prospect for candidate band for IMT/RLAN” should be replaced by the wording “Subject to further consideration taking into account sharing and compatibility studies”. |
| Background: |
| The 3rd meeting the CPG structured the bands under consideration in three categories of bands: supported (Cat.1), subject to further consideration (Cat.2), and seem not suitable (Cat.3). After thoroughly discussion the meeting decided on categorisation of the bands as given in the position. In Section 3.3 of the Draft CEPT Brief on Agenda Item 1.1, current descriptions of some of the frequency bands are not aligned with the decision of CPG, and should therefore be adjusted.  |