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#### Proposal

Dear STG colleagues,

The developer is currently restructuring SEAMCAT to facilitate the development of the EPP (Event Processing Plugin). As part of this task, the code are being cleaned up from non-used variables.

We went across the question of what to do with importing legacy scenario (.txt from SEAMCAT-2). This feature allows users to open workspace made something like 10 years ago?

Does it make any sense in maintaining this part of the code?

I would like to get your views on this so that a decision can be made at the next physical (or earlier) on whether we keep the “import legacy Scenario” or not.

Best regards

Jean-Philippe

PS1: I have checked the ECODOCDB.dk and I cannot find any workspaces that old.

PS2: does anyone have some old SEAMCAT-2 file?

#### Responses from STG members

**Germany**

Dear Jean-Philippe,

I think that the legacy imports (library and scenario) are something of yesteryear.

When we went from SEAMCAT-2 to SEAMCAT-3 it did make sense to enable the users to work with scenarios created "just before"

Now, as we are going to SEAMCAT-5, I cannot see any reason why to keep this feature.

In my opinion there is no need to wait until the next physical meeting in December.

If nobody opposes - let say in the next few days -, move it out in order to speed up the development.

Br,

Karl

**France**

Hi Karl and Jean-Philippe,

I do share Karl’s view. If people wants to run a “very old” scenario, they can run old version of seamcat they still have to see the parameter settings of the old scenario and they can use this parameter settings to create a new scenario (with the new version of Seamcat) and use the “same” parameter setting with the new scenario which fits with the new or current version of Seamcat.

From version “I” to “I+1” it makes sense as Karl said to enable the compatibility between both versions… from “I” to “I+N” when N increases it will considerably slowdown any update of Seamcat software if we focus on compatibility of a long range Seamcat versions… One time, the user needs to do something from its own.

Just one question: do you intend to keep “as download” the old versions of seamcat in the ECO Website? (to help people to migrate their “very old” scenarios” to new format)?

Heykel.

**Switzerland**

Dear Jen-Philippe

Dear all

In our view there is no need to keep the importing feature for legacy scenario: First it is felt that probably very few legacy scenario of interest float around and second the legacy versions of SEAMCAT are available from the “Archived Products” area at

http://www.cept.org/eco/eco-tools-and-services/seamcat-spectrum-engineering-advanced-monte-carlo-analysis-tool/product-download/archived-products

At least in this way legacy scenarios could be opened in a previous SEAMCAT version.

Best regards

Bernhard Solomita

#### Action

The "import legacy scenario" from SEAMCAT-2 (.txt file) will be removed ([Ticket #1111](http://tractool.seamcat.org/ticket/1111))